16-6; 35 (6-endo- $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ ), 89657-11-4; 35 (6-exo- $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$ ), 89657-12-5; 36, 89657-13-6; 37, 89657-14-7; cis-38, 89657-15-8; trans-38, 89657-16-9; cis-39 (isomer 1), 89657-17-0; cis-39 (isomer 2), 89708-66-7; trans-39 (isomer 1), 89708-67-8; trans-39 (isomer 2), 89708-68-9; 40, 89657-18-1; 41, 71342-13-7; 42, 89657-19-2; 43, 53723-46-9; (E)-46, 89657-21-6; ( $Z$ )-46, 89657-22-7; 48, 87729-87-1; LDMAN, 74379-76-3; $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}$ $(\mathrm{SPh})_{2}, 14252-46-1 ;\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, 77-76-9 ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{SPh})_{2}$,

15486-58-5; $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}, 123-38-6 ; \mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{SPh})_{2}, 54905-14-5$; $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{CHO}, 2043-61-0 ; \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}, 75-77-4 ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}, 75-07-0 ;$ $\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p$ - $\mathrm{CHO}, 123-11-5 ; n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{CHO}, 111-71-7 ; n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{CHO}$, 66-25-1; $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHO}, 78-85-3 ; \mathrm{KH}, 7693-26-7$; 1-(dimethylamino)naphthalene, 86-56-6; 1,1-bis(phenylthio)-4-tert-butylcyclohexane, 85895-63-2; 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone, 98-53-3; exo-7-(phenylthio)norcarane, 89657-20-5; endo-7-(phenylthio)norcarane, 37942-20-4.
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#### Abstract

Chiral maytansinol (1) was synthesized stereoselectively from D-mannose. Asymmetric centers of $\mathbf{1}$ were induced intramolecularly from an asymmetric carbon corresponding to C-7 via the common key intermediate 3 for maytansinoids. Key points are (i) the usage of a carbohydrate as a chiral template for acyclic asymmetric induction and (ii) a remote stereochemical control in aldol reaction to elaborate the C-3 asymmetric center by intramolecular asymmetric induction.


Maytansinol (1) is the key compound in preparing ansa macrolactams such as maytansine (2a) found in Maytenus serrata, ${ }^{1}$

ansamitosin (2b) found in Nocardia sp. C-15003(N-1), ${ }^{2}$ and others, which have remarkable antitumor activity with different accompanying toxicity depending upon the ester side chain at $\mathrm{C}-3$ position. ${ }^{3}$ We have recently reported the total synthesis of racemic maytansinol in a highly stereocontrolled manner involving diastereotopic induction of all the asymmetric centers of $( \pm)-1$ from only one asymmetric carbon corresponding to C-7.4 This success prompted us to the studies on the synthesis of optically active 1
(1) Kupchan, S. M.; Komoda, Y.; Branfman, A. R.; Sneden, A. T.; Court, W. A.; Thomas, G. J.; Hintz, H. P. J.; Smith, R. M.; Karim, A.; Howie, G. A.; Verma, A. K.; Nagao, Y.; Dailey, R. G., Jr.; Zimmerly, V. A.; Sumner, W. C. Jr. J. Org, Chem. 1977, 42, 2349.
(2) Asai, M.; Mizuta, E.; Izawa, M.; Haibara, K.; Kishi, T. Tetrahedron 1979, 35, 1079.
(3) (a) Komoda, Y.; Kishi, T. "Anticancer Agents Based on Natural Product Models"; Ed. Cassady, J. M., Douros, J. D., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1980; Chapter 10, pp 353-389. (b) Corey, E. J.; Hua, D. H.; Seitz, S. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 3.
(4) (a) Isobe, M.; Kitamura, M.; Goto, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4997. (b) Kitamura, M.; Isobe, M.; Ichikawa, Y.; Goto, T., manuscript submitted for publication in J. Org. Chem. (c) Other synthetic works, see the following papers and the references cited therein: Meyers, A. I.; Reider, P. J.; Campbell, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6597. Corey, E. J.; Weigel, L. O.; Chamberlin, A. R.; Cho, H.; Hua, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6615.

$a_{\text {(a) }}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}-\mathrm{PPTS}, \mathrm{TsCl} / \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{NaH}-\mathrm{Mel}$; (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{TrCl}-\mathrm{Py}$; (c) $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOK} / \mathrm{THF}$; (d) super hydride, $\mathrm{BnBr}-\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$; (e) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2} /$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (f) $\mathrm{PhS}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{CLi} / \mathrm{THF}, m-\mathrm{CPBA}\right.$; (g) MeLi/THF , $\mathrm{KF}-\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}$-black, DHP-PPTS; (h) $n$-BuLi-4-bromopent-2-ene/THF-HMPA, $\mathrm{CSA} / \mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$; (i) $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}-2 \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{CSA} / \mathrm{HC}$ -$(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{P} 11 \mathrm{SNa}, m-\mathrm{CPBA}$; (j) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, $\mathrm{AcCl} / \mathrm{Py}, t-\mathrm{BuMe}_{2}-$ SiCl-imidazole/DMF, $\mathrm{O}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (k) $\mathrm{PPTS} / \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}-$ $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaOMe} / \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CrO}_{3}-2 \mathrm{Py}, 15 / \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{DMF}$ (2:1), $\mathrm{AcOH}-$ THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 1: 1)$.
via the same key intermediate 3 as the racemic case.
Synthesis of the Chiral Key Intermediate (-)-3. The key intermediate ( - ). $\mathbf{3}$ was prepared from D-mannose (4a) both as the chiral starting material and as the chiral template for the induction
of the asymmetric centers as summarized in Scheme I. Methyl D-mannopyranoside (4b) was converted (with 2,2-dimethoxypropane in DMF at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h in the presence of pyridinium $p$-toluenesulfonate) into the crystalline 4,6 -monoacetonide 5 a [ mp $103^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+73.6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and $\left.+60.3^{\circ}(\mathrm{MeOH})\right]^{5}$ The two hydroxy groups of 5 a at $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ were selectively tosylated [with $p$-tosyl chloride in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and pyridine (2:1), 5 b] and then methylated (with methyl iodide and NaH in THF, 5c, mp 116 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \%$ yield), respectively. In this case, little pinacolone-type rearrangement was observed. Hydrolysis of the acetonide of $\mathbf{5 b}$ with Dowex 50W $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$in MeOH afforded $6 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{mp} 99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) in quantitative yield. Monotritylation of the diol 6 (with trityl chloride in pyridine at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h ) gave $\mathbf{6 b}\left(\mathrm{mp} 156^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ), which was cyclized to the epoxide 7 with KO- $t$-Bu in THF at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~min}(86 \%$ yield). Reductive opening of the epoxide 7 was effected with $\mathrm{LiBHEt}_{3}$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 days and then at room temperature to afford 8a. The hydride attacked largely at the quasi-equatorial $\mathrm{C}-4$ position with a little attack at $\mathrm{C}-3$ (in a ratio of 7:1), but these isomers were not separated at this stage. After the hydroxy group in 8a was protected as the benzyl ether ( $\mathbf{8 b}$ ) (with benzyl chloride with NaH in THF and $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ at room temperature for 1 day), the trityl group of $\mathbf{8 b}$ was hydrolyzed with HCl in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ by stirring overnight at room temperature and then purified to give the alcohol 8 c in $67 \%$ overall yield from 7. 8c was oxidized with $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}-2 \mathrm{Py}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to the aldehyde 9 ( $69 \%$ yield). The aldehyde could also be made by Swern oxidation in higher yield. ${ }^{6}$ Condensation of 9 with [bis(trimethylsilyl)(phenylsulfenyl)methyl] lithium in a mixture of THF-hexane ( $3: 2$ ), at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and at room temperature for 30 min , was followed by oxidation with MCPBA and gave the heteroolefin 10 ( $72 \%$ yield), the geometry of the heteroolefin being a mixture of $E$ and $Z$ isomers. Although it was not necessary to separate these isomers for the following step, a part of the sample was purified for analysis to give crystalline ( $E$ )-10 ( $\mathrm{mp} 138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The mixture of isomers ( $\mathbf{1 0}$ ) was mixed with MeLi in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then with KF in a mixture of hot MeOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to afford a single (exceeding $99 \%$ purity) isomer 11a in quantitative yield.

The following steps are concerned with some necessary protecting group manipulation on the way to aldehyde 14 . The protective group R of $\mathbf{1 1}$ was converted from benzyl to THP ether in two steps, involving first hydrogenolysis of 11a with Pd-black under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 2 days in refluxing EtOH containing AcOH and, second, protection of the resultant alcohol (11b in $97.5 \%$ yield) with dihydropyran in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in the presence of PPTS to give 11c. Compounds $11 \mathrm{c}-13 \mathrm{c}$ were a mixture of diastereoisomers and were used without chromatographic purification. Alkylation of 11c with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ and 4 -bromopent-2-ene gave 12a, which was treated with 2-chloroethanol and trimethyl orthoformate in the presence of dl -10-camphorsulfonic acid (CSA) giving 12b. After the alcohol 12b had been oxidized to the corresponding ketone with $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}-2 \mathrm{Py}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then ketalized into the dimethyl ketal (13a) with trimethyl orthoformate in MeOH in the presence of CSA, the glycosidic group of 13a was converted into a base-labile (phenylsulfonyl)ethyl glycoside (13c) in two steps involving substitution with NaSPh followed by oxidation with MCPBA. Treatment of 13c with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in refluxing EtOH containing THF afforded a diol, which was monoacetylated and then silylated. Subsequent ozonolysis and reduction of the ozonide with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (used as reducing agent and at the same time as promoter of elimination of the $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2}$ group) produced the pure unsaturated aldehyde (14a) in $38 \%$ overall yield in seven steps from 11b. The stereochemically pure $14 a,[\alpha]_{D}+26.9^{\circ}$, was shown to be structurally identical with the racemic 14a, which contains three properly oriented asymmetric carbons. After ketalization of the aldehyde 14a, the ketal
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Figure 1.
Scheme $11^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{LAH} / \mathrm{THF} ; \mathrm{CrO}_{3}-2 \mathrm{Py}$. (b) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHOCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph} / \mathrm{THF}$; (c) $0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{THF}(1: 5) ; \mathrm{PhCOCl}^{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} ;$ (d) CSA/ $\mathrm{MeOH} ; \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Py}$.
was converted into the key intermediate 3, first by hydrolysis of the acetate and oxidation to the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ and then by condensation with the aromatic counterpart 15 and final hydrolysis of the acetal to $3 .{ }^{7}$
Remote-Controlled Aldol Reaction to $\alpha$-Epoxy Aldehydes and Anti Selectivity. The conversion of the key intermediate 3 into the epoxy aldehyde 16 was accomplished by (i) reduction of the aldehyde with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, (ii) hydrolysis with KOH (3b), (iii) diastereoselective epoxidation with tert-butyl hydroperoxide in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ (16a), and (iv) oxidation with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}-\mathrm{Py}$ ( $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ ). ${ }^{7}$ The aldol reaction of $(-)-\mathbf{1 6 b}$ with lithium ethyl acetate and protection of the resulting hydroxy group afforded $(-)-\mathbf{1 7 b}$, which was the only isolable stereoisomer. This unusually high selectivity was found to be effected by C-7 oxygen atom with its taking part in chelation at the transition state (Figure 1) in the aldol reaction. This was concluded from the following comparison experiments.

The first example used the epoxy aldehyde $18 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$, which was treated with lithium ethyl acetate (generated with LDA) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF to produce a mixture of 19 a and $20 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}\right)$. After the hydroxy group was protected as the tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether, the mixture was separated by silica gel TLC to give anti isomer 19a and syn isomer 20a ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{2} \mathrm{Bu}$ ) in a ratio of $2: 1$. The major isomer 19a was converted as shown in Scheme II into a six-membered cyclic compound. This transformation involves the addition of one carbon fragment to 21 by a Wittig reaction (22) and six-membered ether ring formation ( $23 \rightarrow 24$ ) with concomitant opening of the epoxide ring. Compound 24a showed the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signal corresponding to $\mathrm{C}-3$
(7) The experimental detail of the procedure from 14 to 3 via 15 is the same as for the racemic case described in ref 4 b .

position at $\delta 4.72 \mathrm{ppm}$ (dd, $J=3.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The major isomer 20a was similarly converted into $\mathbf{2 5 a}$ showing the corresponding proton at $\delta 4.83 \mathrm{ppm}$ (dd, $J=8.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) proving the presence of an axial H . ${ }^{8}$ Therefore, the major product 19a has the anti configuration of the hydroxyl to the epoxide ring, which is the desired relative configuration for $\mathbf{1}$. The same aldol reaction was examined on a functionalized epoxy aldehyde 18b, having a side chain with additional syn asymmetric centers, under the same condition with lithium ethyl acetate as above to afford largely anti product 19b [ $\delta 2.86 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ] in $65.9 \%$ isolated yield together with the corresponding syn product (20b) [ $\delta 2.97 \mathrm{ppm}$ (d, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )] in $11.6 \%$ yield, the ratio of these product being 85:15. Each isomer ( $\mathbf{1 9 b}$ and 20b) was respectively converted into $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ as shown in Scheme II. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signal corresponding to $\mathrm{C}-3$ position in $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ appeared at $\delta 4.31$ (dd, $J=3.0$, 1.5 Hz ), while the axial proton in the minor product (25b) was at $\delta 4.93$ (dd, $J=10.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), showing the anti orientation of the aldol adduct 19b. On the other hand, the anti-epoxy aldehyde 26 afforded a contrasting result on condensation with the lithium enolate of ethyl acetate. In this case the product, analyzed as the silyl ether, was a mixture of the two diastereoisomers 27 (syn and anti ones) in ca. 50:50 judging from the epoxidic proton signals appearing at $\delta 2.83$ and 2.88 , respectively.

Three different results were obtained in the above aldol reactions using the similar epoxyaldehydes, 18a, 18b, and 26, giving product ratios of syn:anti of $67: 33,85: 15$, and $50: 50$, respectively. These facts imply uncommon transition states and different mechanisms for the stereocontrol. Comparing 18b and 26, the particularly high selectivity of the former might be derived from the contribution of the silyl oxygen atom by taking part in an efficient chelation ${ }^{9}$ in the aldol reaction. Thus, one of the possible transition states might be the pseudo-bicyclo[5,3,1]undecene shown in Figure 1. This large ring transition state would explain the difficult formation of such a chelate ring with the anti-epoxy aldehyde 26 due to 1,3 -steric repulsion of the two methyl groups shown in parentheses. These phenomena are the first examples to our knowledge, that the stereochemistry of an aldol addition can be controlled by factors caused by four and five atoms away from the electrophilic center.
(8) The corresponding lactones, prepared by oxidation of 23 and by its acidic cyclization, showed similar coupling constants in ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra: major isomer in $J=5.7$ and 4.3 Hz , minor isomer in $J=5.9$ and 2.1 Hz . This was due to a conformational ambiguity of the latter being in boat-like form.
(9) (a) Evans, D. A.; Nelson, J. V.; Taber, T. R. Top. Stereochem. 1982, 13, 1-115. (b) Mukaiyama, T. Org. React. (N.Y.) 1982, 28, 203-331. (c) Masamune, S.; Choy, W. Aldrichimica Acta 1982, 15, 47. (d) Heathcock, C. H. Science (Washington, D.C.) 1981, 214, 395.

Total Synthesis of Optically Active Maytansinol (1). Proper introduction of all the necessary asymmetric centers into ( - )-17b leads us to the final stage of the total synthesis. (-)-17b was hydrolyzed with 3 N KOH in a mixture of THF and EtOH (2:5) at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h to give the carboxylic acid, which was cyclized to the 19 -membered lactam via conversion of its tetrabutylammonium salt to the mixed anhydride (with mesitylenesulfonyl chloride). ${ }^{4 c}$ After the chromatographic separation, the product was isolated in pure state as 28 showing $[\alpha]_{D}-33.4^{\circ}$ in $53 \%$ yield.



28
a $\mathrm{R}=\mathbf{S} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Me}}^{2} \mathrm{Bu}$
b $R=H$
Since the starting material contained ca. $55 \%$ of $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ (with $(E)-\mathbf{1 1}$ geometry) and $45 \%$ of the $11(Z)$-isomer of $\mathbf{1 7 b}$, the isolation of 28 a in $53 \%$ yield corresponds a $96 \%$ cyclization yield. Desilylation of the cyclized product 28 a was effected with $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF}$ in a mixture of acetonitrile and THF at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After isolation of the diol 28b, it was first attempted to perform the carbamoylation and then the deketalization to produce $\mathbf{1}$, but this gave only a low yield. On the other hand, first deketalization followed by carbamoylation via 29 gave maytansinol (-)-1 in $58 \%$ overall yield as crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 192^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-195^{\circ}$ (lit. mp $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ $-198^{\circ}$ ). All other physical properties were identical with the authentic material.

Maytansinol exhibited a strong aromatic stacking, which was observed in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ during the NMR measurements of the synthetic sample, as well as of the natural product. One of the two aromatic protons of $\mathbf{1}$ appeared at two different chemical shifts around $\delta 7.0$ (in the range of $\delta 7.04-6.91$ as a double ( $J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )) depending upon the concentration ( $15-0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ ), at higher chemical shift in lower concentration, while the other aromatic signal appeared at $\delta 6.80$, constant irrespective of the concentration. The position of all other signals was independent of concentration.
The total synthesis of racemic and optically active maytansinol provides a new synthetic concept in the use of carbohydrates as chiral templates. Thus, the carbon chain elongation used the mannose nucleus to produce high-asymmetric induction via intramolecular chelation. Heteroconjugate addition to establish the asymmetry at $\mathrm{C}-6$, diastereoselective epoxidation to establish that at C-4 and C-5, and remote controlled aldol reaction to set up C-3, all derived from the original C-7 asymmetric center of the starting material. The use of a carbohydrate for chiral synthesis remains highly effective.

## Experimental Section

Preparation of 5c from Methyl D-Mannopyranoside. A mixture of 4b $[100.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ in DMF $(2.5 \mathrm{~L})$ and acetone $(0.8 \mathrm{~L})]$ and 2,2-dimethoxypropane ( 160 mL ) was stirred with PPTS $(9.0 \mathrm{~g})$ at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h. Additional dimethoxypropane ( $50 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ) was added every 24 h . Amberlite IRA 402 was added to this mixture to neutralize the catalyst, and then stirring was continued overnight. The resin was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated to give syrup, which was evacuated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to remove the remaining DMF. The product 5 a was crystallized by allowing the residue to stand at room temperature. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and petroleum ether provided the pure sample 5a: $57.4 \mathrm{~g}, 47.1 \%$ yield, $\mathrm{mp} 101-103{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.48$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 3.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.4-3.9(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.64(1 \mathrm{H}$, s); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+60.3^{\circ}(\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{c} 1.00), 73.6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 1.00\right)$ (lit. by Evans ${ }^{5}$ $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}} 73^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, Stevens $\left.{ }^{5} 68.3^{\circ}\right)$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 51.27 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.75. Found: C, $51.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.79$.
$p$-Toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $36.0 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the monoacetonide $5 \mathrm{a}(30.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.128 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of dichloromethane ( 2 L ) and pyridine ( 1 L ). An additional 8 g each of the chloride was added 3 times, after 24,36 , and 48 h , respectively. After 60 h, TLC analysis showed the absence of starting material. The reaction mixture was washed with water, and the aqueous layer was extracted
with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated to afford a crude oil, which was diluted with ether and filtered through a short column of silica gel. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give the monotosylate $\mathbf{5 b}$ ( 53.2 g , used without further purification).

A mixture of THF ( 350 mL ), MeI ( $40 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and petroleum ether-washed $\mathrm{NaH}(12.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol})$ was placed in a flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer and a dropping funnel, through which was introduced 5b [ $53.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.128 \mathrm{~mol}$ dissolved in THF ( 150 mL )] over 1.5 h at room temperature with vigorous stirring. After the addition, MeOH was added cautiously until the evolution of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ceased. The reaction mixture was diluted with aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and then extracted with ether. The combined organic layer was washed with water and saturated NaCl and then dried. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude crystals ( 39.7 g ), which were recrystallized from ether to give the analytically pure crystals 5 c : $30.8 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ yield, mp $116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.52(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.7-3.8(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9,4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.3(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+14.7^{\circ}$ (MeOH, c 1.01). Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 53.72 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.51$. Found: C, 53.57; H, 6.48.

Preparation of the Trityl Ether $\mathbf{6 b}$. A solution of the acetonide $5 \mathbf{c}$ [ $31.0 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \mathrm{mmol}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~L})]$ was stirred vigorously in the presence of Dowex $50 \mathrm{~W}\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature for 3.5 h . Removal of the resin and then the solvent afforded crystals of 6 a, which was recrystallized from ether and hexane. $6 \mathrm{a}: 28.4 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%, \mathrm{mp} 97-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.4-4.0(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=8,5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9,3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.6-6.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.7-7.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+30.1^{\circ}(\mathrm{MeOH}, c 1.03)$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{2 i} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 49.72 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.12$. Found: C, $49.81 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.16$.

A solution of the diol 6 ( $26.9 \mathrm{~g}, 74.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trityl chloride ( 25.6 $\mathrm{g}, 90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in pyridine ( 135 mL ) was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h. After cooling to room temperature the reaction mixture was poured into water and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Crude solid $6 \mathbf{b}(55.0 \mathrm{~g})$ was recrystallized from ether to give pure 6 b : $38.6 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield, $\mathrm{mp} 156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, br t, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.32(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.4-4.0(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.6-4.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.0-7.4(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 7.6-7.8 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+20.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c\right.$ c 1.02$)$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, $67.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.00$. Found: C, $67.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.00$.

Preparation of 8. Potassium tert-butoxide ( $1.17 \mathrm{M}, 68 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of $6 \mathrm{~b}[16.0 \mathrm{~g}, 26.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ in THF ( 500 mL )] under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After 30 min , the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and then extracted with ether. The combined organic layer was washed with water and aqueous NaCl , dried, and then concentrated to afford the crude unstable epoxide $7(12.8 \mathrm{~g})$, which was subjected to the next step.

To a solution of the epoxide $7[12.8 \mathrm{~g}, 26.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ in THF ( 30 mL )] was added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a 1 M solution of $\mathrm{LiBEt}_{3} \mathrm{H}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the reaction mixture was kept at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (in a freezer) for 5 days and then at room temperature when TLC analysis showed absence of the starting material. The mixture was treated with $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(45 \mathrm{~mL}$, dropwise addition at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and then with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ until the organic layer became negative to KI -starch test paper. Extraction with ether followed by washing (aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$, water, and aqueous NaCl ) and drying over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ gave 8 aa ( 15.1 g , crude in quantitative yield). The purity of this product was determined as follows. Part of the mixture of $8 \mathbf{a}(0.67 \mathrm{~g})$ was heated with tert-butyldimethylchlorosilane $(0.8 \mathrm{~g})$ and imidazole ( 0.71 g ) as a solution in DMF ( 3 mL ) at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The reaction mixture was worked up to give the crude tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether ( 1.33 g ). Separation of the resulting mixture of silyl ethers by HPLC (Partisil, Whatman, ether:hexane $=8: 1$ ) afforded the products in a $7: 1$ ratio of $8 a$ and its 3 -deoxy isomer. tert-Butyldimethylsilyl ether of 8a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.90(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.4-1.9$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.9-3.4(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.38(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}), 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.1-7.5(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

The alcohol $8 \mathrm{a}\left[15.1 \mathrm{~g}, 26.5 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(40 \mathrm{~mL})\right]$ was added to a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(3.8 \mathrm{~g})$ in THF ( 350 mL ). After the evolution of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ceased, benzyl bromide ( 4.7 mL ) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 day, diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and then extracted with ether to give the benzyl ether 8 b : $15.4 \mathrm{~g} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta$ $1.6-1.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10,4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.28(3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.66$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $7.1-7.5(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
A solution of $\mathbf{8 b}\left[15.4 \mathrm{~g}, 26.5 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(370 \mathrm{~mL})\right]$ was stirred with $\mathrm{HCl}(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ overnight at room temperature, the mixture was neutralized by saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the separated aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layer was washed with water and half-saturated NaCl , dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give a residue, which was separated by a silica gel column ( 100 g ) with a mixture of ether and hexane ( $1: 1$ ) to afford the alcohol $8 \mathrm{c}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ overall yield from 6 in 4 steps). 8c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.5-1.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.23$
( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brm}$ ), $3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 3.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.5-3.8(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 4.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.26(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$.

Preparation of the Heteroolefin 10. To a suspension of $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}-2 \mathrm{Py}$ $(12.6 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(130 \mathrm{~mL})$ was addded $8 \mathrm{c}[1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ in $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})\right]$ dropwise at room temperature with vigorous stirring. After 10 min , the mixture was diluted with a mixture of ether and EtOAc and then decanted to leave a gum, which was thoroughly washed with ether. The combined organic solution was filtered through Hyflo Supercell, and the filtrate cake was washed with ether. The filtrate was passed through a short column of silica gel to remove trace amounts of chromium species. Condensation of the filtrate under reduced pressure gave the aldehyde $9\left(0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%\right.$ yield): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.22$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4,4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 2 Hz ), $7.26(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $9.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

An alternative method for 9 by Swern oxidation follows. To a mixture of oxalyl chloride [ $33 \mathrm{~mL}, 387 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv] and $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(55 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.4 \mathrm{~L})$ was added $8 \mathrm{c}(44.2 \mathrm{~g}, 157 \mathrm{mmol})$ over a period of 5 $\min$ at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 15 min , the mixture was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(218 \mathrm{~mL}, 1550 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred for 5 min at this temperature and for 45 min without external cooling, the final temperature being ca. $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 1.5 L ), extracted with ether ( $1.4 \mathrm{~L} \times 3$ ), washed with 1 N HCl to pH ca. 5 then with $\mathrm{NaHCO}{ }_{3}$, water, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evapted to give 45.7 g of the crude aldehyde 9 in almost quantitative yield.

To a solution of bis(trimethylsilyl)(phenylthio) methane ( 2.5 mL ) in THF ( 50 mL ) cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar was added $n$-BuLi $[1.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $8.9 \mathrm{mmol}(5.5 \mathrm{~mL})]$ portionwise, and then the temperature was regulated as follows: (i) warmed up to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gradually in 2.5 h , (ii) kept at $-45 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , (iii) allowed to warm to room temperature in 3 h , and (iv) cooled to $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this mixture was introduced the aldehyde 9 [ $0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ in THF ( 5 mL )]. After stirring for 5 min at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then for 30 min at room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with ether to give crude oil, which was purified by chromatography to give a sulfide $[1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ yield, as a mixture of $E / Z$ isomers in 3:1]. MCPBA [ $80 \%, 1.16 \mathrm{~g}]$ was added to a solution of the sulfide [ $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 30 min , the mixture was treated with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$, and then the cooling bath was removed. Aqueous workup gave the heteroolefin 10 ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ yield). Part of the product was separated for analytical purpose by preparative TLC to give ( $Z$ )-10 (mp 136-138 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and ( $E$ )-10 (oil), respectively. (Z)-10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.34(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.6-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 3.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $4.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=10,9,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.3(8$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-64.3^{\circ}(\mathrm{MeOH}, c \quad 1.00)$. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}_{1} \mathrm{~S}: ~ 61.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.93$. Found: C, 61.10; H, 6.90. (E)-22: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.22(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.4-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $3.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 4.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=10,10,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.3-7.6(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 7.7-7.8 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ).

Heteroconjugate Addition of MeLi to 10. To a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 0}$ [ $113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ in THF ( 3.8 mL )] was added MeLi $[1.5 \mathrm{M}, 1.6$ equiv ( 0.25 mL )] dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After it was stirred for 10 min , the resulting yellow mixture was mixed with aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and then extracted with ether to give an adduct ( 113 mg ), which was stirred in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $\mathrm{KF}(46 \mathrm{mg})$ at room temperature for 1 h. The solvent was removed and the resulting residue was taken up with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give 11 as the sole isolable product: $98 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 2.90(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=149 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $3.26(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.90$ ( 1 H , ddd, $J=10,4,3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.2(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $7.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 14.6,27.5,32.0,55.5,58.2$, $59.2,66.2,71.0,71.9,76.3,100.4,127.6,127.9,128.3,129.2,133.5$, 138.3, 140.0; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+40.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, , 1.60$)$.

Preparation of 12. A solution of 11 a [ $36.2 \mathrm{~g}, 83.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ in EtOH $(900 \mathrm{~mL})]$ was stirred with Pd-black $(10 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{AcOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at reflux temperature for 2 days. Filtration and evaporation of the mixture gave colorless oil $11 \mathrm{~b}: 28.0 \mathrm{~g}$, in $97.5 \%$ yield; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.12$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.3-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J$ $=14.5,8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.40(1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 7.6(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

The alcohol 11b ( $30 \mathrm{~g}, 87.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred with dihydropyran 15 $\mathrm{mL}, 164.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(600 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in the presence of PPTS ( 3 g ) at ambident temperature for 5 h . The usual workup afforded 11c (crude 40 g ) as a slightly yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $11 \mathrm{c}: \delta 1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.4-2.0(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14,8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.30$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}), 3.40(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}), 3.4(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$, $4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.6(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. After it was pumped at $10^{-2}$ torr for $5 \mathrm{~h}, 11 \mathrm{c}(40 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of THF ( 720
$\mathrm{mL})$ and HMPA ( 180 mL ) and then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this solution was added $n$-BuLi [ $1.65 \mathrm{M}, 132 \mathrm{mmol}(80 \mathrm{~mL})]$ dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the temperature was raised to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 20 min . The mixture was again cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and mixed with 3 -bromopent-2-ene ( $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 166 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The stirring was continued at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , and the mixture was then worked up by addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{aq})$ followed by extraction with ether. The crude product $12 \mathrm{a}(48.3 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was treated under the following reaction conditions without further purification.

Preparation of 13. The alkylated product 12a ( 48.3 g ) was heated in 2 -chloroethanol ( 800 mL ) containing trimethyl orthoformate ( 16 mL ) and CSA $(4 \mathrm{~g})$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was poured into ice-cold $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give crude $\mathbf{1 2 b}(53 \mathrm{~g})$, which was oxidized with $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}-2 \mathrm{Py}$ ( 140 g , 5 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(800 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at room temperature for 30 min . The total a mount of the product ( 52.4 g) was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH}(800 \mathrm{~mL})$ and trimethyl orthoformate ( 80 mL ) and stirred with CSA at room temperature for 12 h . The usual aqueous workup with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded the dimethyl ketal 13a ( 43.4 g ). The residual oil was dissolved in THF ( 600 mL ) to which was added a solution of PhSNa [prepared from $\mathrm{PhSH}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(6$ g) in THF] at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 15 min . After it was stirred overnight at room temperature, the mixture was diluted with water and then extracted with ether to give crude 13b ( 44.9 g ). The sulfide 13b was oxidized with MCPBA ( 42 g ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~L})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h to give crude $\mathbf{1 3 \mathrm { c }}$ ( 45.7 g), which was reduced with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(14 \mathrm{~g})$ in a mixture of EtOH ( 800 $\mathrm{mL})$ and THF ( 200 mL ) at refluxing temperature for 1.5 h . The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.6 \mathrm{~L})$ and passed through a silica gel column to afford crude diol ( 40.3 g ), monoacetylation of which $(40.3 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was effected with acetyl chloride ( $7.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in the presence of pyridine ( $74 \mathrm{~mL}, 10$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . After the usual aqueous workup with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the acetate ( 40.7 g ) was treated with tert-butyldimethylchlorosilane ( $50 \mathrm{~g}, 4$ equiv) and imidazole ( $48 \mathrm{~g}, 8$ equiv) in DMF ( 100 mL ) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The silyl ether (crude 51.2 g) was purified with silica gel ( 700 g ) and a mixture of ether-hexane (1:2), to give 19.0 g of pure material, as an oil, in $38.1 \%$ isolated overall yield from 11b in seven steps. Ozone was introduced into a cold solution $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the silyl ether [ 15.0 g in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] for 4 h when $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h , and then the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution was washed with ice-cold water, dried, and concentrated to yield $\mathbf{1 4 a}(11.0 \mathrm{~g}$, quantitative yield). Part of this aldehyde was purified on a silica gel column (ether-hexane 1:3) to afford pure unsaturated aldehyde 14a, $[\alpha]_{D}+26.9^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c 1.82$ ): all other physical properties are the same as the racemic ones.

Preparation of 3. The unsaturated aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4 a}(11.0 \mathrm{~g})$ was stirred in MeOH ( 250 mL ) with trimethyl orthoformate ( 46 mL ) and PPTS ( 1.1 g) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was poured into $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with ether to give the corresponding acetal ( 12.1 g ), which was hydrolyzed with MeONa ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 1.5$ equiv) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(300 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at room temperature for 1 h . Usual workup with ether afforded an alcohol ( 10.5 g in $95.5 \%$ yield), which was then oxidized with $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}-2 \mathrm{Py}(60 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature for 30 min to afford the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4 b}(9.8 \mathrm{~g}$ in $94.0 \%$ yield). A solution of $\mathbf{1 4 b}$ in THF ( 50 mL ) was introduced to a solution of the ylide of $\mathbf{1 5}$ [prepared from 17.8 g with $t-\operatorname{BuLi}(1.85 \mathrm{M}, 17.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a mixture of THF ( 294 mL ) and DMF $(147 \mathrm{~mL})]$ at $-67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 1 h . The mixture was stirred at $-67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr and then heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr . Usual aqueous workup afforded crude product ( 23.9 g ), which was purified with silica gel ( 350 g ) column chromatography (ether-hexane $1: 2$ ) to afford the adduct $(13.0 \mathrm{~g}, 81.3 \%$ yield). A solution of this adduct in a mixture of THF ( 220 mL ), water ( 55 mL ), and acetic acid ( 55 mL ) was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days to give the key intermediate 3 ( 12.3 g in quantitative yield). The series of materials, after this Wittig and before closing the ansa ring, were comprised from a mixture of $(E)$ - and ( $Z$ )-11 in a ratio of $55: 45$, which were not separable. Part of ( - )-3 was purified with HPLC to give $85 \%$ purity of the ( $E$ )-11 isomer, which showed $[\alpha]_{D}-5.9^{\circ}$ (c $0.29, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

Preparation of 17b. The crude key intermediate ( - ) $\mathbf{- 3}(12 \mathrm{~g}$ ) was reduced with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.85 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(280 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and the usual workup gave the crude allyl alcohol ( 12.5 g ), which was heated in $\mathrm{EtOH}(426 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) with $12 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{KOH}(142 \mathrm{~mL})$ at reflux temperature for 24 h and the ethereal workup afforded crude amino alcohol ( 10 g ). A solution of this material in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(430 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i$ $\operatorname{Pr}_{4}(1 \mathrm{M}, 24 \mathrm{~mL})$ and tert-butyl hydroperoxide ( $5.1 \mathrm{M}, 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at -23 ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was then stirred for 1 h with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ( 10 mL ) and NaF ( 200 mL ). The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extracts ( 16 a , crude 10 g ) were oxidized with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}-\mathrm{Py}(12 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(22 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(220$ mL ) at room temperature for 45 min , and the mixture was extracted with ether to afford chiral $\mathbf{1 6 b}(9.1 \mathrm{~g})$.

Freshly distilled ethyl acetate ( 6.6 mL ) was added slowly at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ into a solution LDA in THF ( 180 mL ) [prepared from diisopropylamine
$(9.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $n-\operatorname{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}, 42 \mathrm{~mL})$ in hexane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h$]$. To this mixture was added dropwise a solution of $16 \mathrm{~b}[8.8 \mathrm{~g}$ in THF ( 60 $\mathrm{mL})$ ]. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, mixed with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with ether to provide $\mathbf{1 7 a}(10.2 \mathrm{~g})$. This product was dissolved in DMF ( 75 mL ) and treated with tert-butyldimethylchlorosilane ( 11 g ) and imidazole ( 13 g ) with stirring for 12 h at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture at such a rate that no exothermic reaction occurred, and the resulting solution was extracted with ether to afford crude oil, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give 17b ( 3.5 g in $23.3 \%$ overall yield from 3). $(-)-17 \mathrm{~b}:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-19.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c 1.21\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.04$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.08(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.83(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.89(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.00(\mathrm{Me}-6, \mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.27(\mathrm{Me}-4, \mathrm{~s}), 1.73(\mathrm{Me}-14, \mathrm{~s}), 2.0$ ( $\mathrm{H}-8 \times 2, \mathrm{~m}$ ), $2.54(\mathrm{H}-2 \times 2, \mathrm{~m}), 2.88(\mathrm{NMe}, \mathrm{s}), 2.99(\mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~d}, J=9.5$ Hz ), 3.23 (OMe-9 $\times 2$, s), 3.28 (OMe-10, s), $3.76(\mathrm{H}-10, \mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.85(\mathrm{ArOMe}, \mathrm{s}), 4.08(\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{~m}), 4.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.40(\mathrm{NH}$, br s), $5.51(\mathrm{H}-11, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.95(\mathrm{H}-13, \mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H} \times 2, \mathrm{br} s), 6.48(\mathrm{H}-12, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu 3450,1730,1595,1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / z 807\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ - 34), 793, 644, 546, 514.

Cyclization of the 19-Membered Lactam. Compound 17b ( 550 mg , 0.67 mmol ) was dissolved in a mixture of $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{KOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{EtOH}(10$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and THF ( 2 mL ) and the solution was heated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h . The mixture was neutralized with 1 N HCl at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then extracted 3 times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was passed through a column containing $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated to an oil, which was purified by silica gel TLC with ether-hexane (1:1) to give carboxylic acid, which was dissolved in toluene ( 30 mL ) and mixed with $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NOH}(10 \%, 2.2$ mL in MeOH at room temperature). The mixture was concentrated to dryness to remove water by azeotropic distillation, and the residue was redissolved and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure below 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 4$ succiessive times. The resulting light brown material $(0.8 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in benzene 1 L ) and added dropwise in a mixture of 2 -mesitylenesulfonyl chloride ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diisopropylethylamine ( 2.8 $\mathrm{mL}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 2 L ) and pyridine ( 400 mL ) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 40 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated to $1 / 5$ volume and extracted 4 times with ether. The extracts were washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo to afford crude 28a, which was chromatographed on a silica gel column. Elution with ether-hexane (1:3) removed excess 2-mesitylenesulfonyl chloride and side products. Elution with ethyl acetate provided in $31 \%$ yield as colorless oil ( - )-28a $\left[160 \mathrm{mg} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-33.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} \mathrm{c} 0.58\right)\right]$. This yield was $53 \%$ in $1 / 10$ scale, and the corresponding $11 Z$ isomer of 28 a was too unstable to isolate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) $\delta-0.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.07$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.135(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.83(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.84(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.99$ ( $\mathrm{Me}-6, \mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.05(\mathrm{Me}-4, \mathrm{~s}), 1.79(\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{~d} 1, J=10.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.95 (Me-14, s), 1.9-2.2 (m), $2.91(\mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{Hjz}$ ), 3.01 ( $\mathrm{OMe}-10$, s), 3.14 ( $\mathrm{OMe}-9 \times 2$, s), $3.26(\mathrm{NMe}, \mathrm{s}), 3.3(2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(\mathrm{ArOMe} \mathrm{s}), 4.48(1 \mathrm{H}, d, J=$ 10.0 Hz ), $5.24(\mathrm{H}-13, \mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.40(\mathrm{H}-11$, dd, $J=15.0,10.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.46(\mathrm{H}-12, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.56(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, ~ J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.72$ (Ar H, d, $J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu 1660,1575,1455,1120,1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum, $m / z 795\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 780,764,739$. Optically active material was prepared similarly from 5 in $30.8 \%$ yield (ca. 160 mg from 550 mg ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-33.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{c} 0.580\right)$.

Total Synthesis of Maytansinol 1. Compound 28a [95 mg, 0.12 mmol dissolved in $\mathrm{MeCN}(4 \mathrm{~mL})]$ was treated with $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF}[1 \mathrm{M}$, in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})]$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The reaction mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined extracts were washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford crude oil $\mathbf{2 8 b}$, which was passed through a silica gel short column (elution first with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then with ethyl acetate) to give 28b, which was used for the next step. When it was purified in the racemic material, the yield was 77\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 28b $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.05$ ( $\mathrm{Me}-6, \mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.30 (Me-4, s), 1.76 (Me-14, s), 1.8-2.3(m), $2.91(\mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.18 (OMe-9, s), 3.19 (OMe-9, s), 3.27 ( $\mathrm{OMe}-10, \mathrm{~s}$ ), 3.41 ( $\mathrm{NMe}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 3.96 ( Ar OMe, s), 3.4-4.0 (m), 5.60 (H-11, dd, $J=15.5,5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.99 (H-13, d, $J=11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.52(\mathrm{H}-12$, ddd, $J=15.5,11,1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.73(\operatorname{Ar~H,~d,~} J=$ $2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.78$ ( $\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $3500,1642,1580,1118$, $1095 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 567\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 548,533$, found 567.2586 , calcd $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{Cl}_{1}\right) 567.2596$.
The diol 28b was dissolved in a mixture of acetic acid ( 1 mL ), THF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and water ( 0.5 mL ), and the mixture was stirred for 11 h at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the organic layer was washed with aqueous $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to afford almost pure 29 ( 39.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 62.7 \%$ overall yield from 28a), $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-410^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c 0.432\right)$, and the racemic material was also prepared from 7.0 mg of $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ in quantitative yield of $29(6.7 \mathrm{mg})$ as colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 0.87$
( $\mathrm{Me}-4, \mathrm{~s}$ ), $1.16(\mathrm{Me}-6, \mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.76(\mathrm{Me}-14, \mathrm{~s}), 2.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 2.32 (H-6, m), $2.55(\mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(\mathrm{H}-8, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,9.5)$, $2.76(\mathrm{H}-8, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.53 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14(\mathrm{H}-15, \mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.20(\mathrm{OMe}-10$, s), $3.37(\mathrm{NMe}, \mathrm{s}), 3.46(\mathrm{H}-15, \mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.88(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 3.98 (Ar OMe, s), 4.19 (H-10, d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.42 (H-11, dd, $J=$ $15,8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.98(\mathrm{H}-13, \mathrm{~d}, J=11 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.60(\mathrm{H}-12, \mathrm{dd}, J=15,11,1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.81(\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu 3400$, 1724, 1644, 1576, $1095 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $m / z 521\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 503,488$, found 521.1105, calcd $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{Cl}_{1}\right) 521.2178$.

To a solution of the keto diol ( - )-29 [21.6 mg in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ ] was added 0.5 M pyridine ( 0.3 mL ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The stirring was continued for 15 min , and the reaction mixture was cooled down to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and mixed with excess ammoniacal $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. After removal of the cooling bath, the resultant yellow solution was stirred for 20 min and then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was separated, washed with $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and then concentrated in vacuo affording the crude maytansinol 1 , which was purified by silica gel TLC with $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give $(-)-1,\left[13.6 \mathrm{mg}\right.$ in $58.1 \%$ yield, $\mathrm{mp} 190-192^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ $\left.-195^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, c 0.272\right)\right]$. The racemic material (ca. 6.7 mg of $\pm 29$ ) was also converted into ( $\pm$ )-1 in $67 \%$ yield ( 4.7 mg of white powder). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) $\delta 0.84$ ( $\mathrm{Me}-4, \mathrm{~s}$ ), $1.29(\mathrm{Me}-6, \mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54$ (H-6, m), 1.69 (Me-14, s), $\sim 1.25$ (H-8, overlap), 2.15 (H-8, d, $J=14$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{s}), 2.10 \mathrm{~Hz}(\mathrm{H}-2, \mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.28(\mathrm{H}-2, \mathrm{dd}, J=13.5$, $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.57(\mathrm{H}-5, \mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.11(\mathrm{H}-15, \mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.47$
(H-15, d, $J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.20 (OMe-10, s), 3.35 (NMe, s), 3.49 (H-10, $\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.54(\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.98(\mathrm{ArOMe}, \mathrm{s}), 4.34$ $(\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{t}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.51(\mathrm{H}-11, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.14(\mathrm{H}-13$, $\mathrm{d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.35(\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{s}), 6.43(\mathrm{H}-12, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80$ (Ar $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.98 (Ar H, d, $J=2$ ) (This signal appeared at $\delta$ 7.04 in a concentration of 1 being $15 \mathrm{mg} / 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta 6.98$ ( 2.1 $\mathrm{mg} / 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{mg} / 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}), 6.91(0.25 \mathrm{mg} / 0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, while the other aromatic H appeared at $\delta 6.80$ in these concentrations.); IR (CH$\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right)=3420,2920,2850,1703,1650,1575,1455,1341,1095,1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectra $m / z 503\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-61\right), 485,468,450$; found 503.2095 , calcd $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{Cl}_{1}\right) 503.2073\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HNCO}\right)\right]$
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#### Abstract

Rates of cleavage of the anionic piperidine and morpholine adducts ( $\mathrm{T}^{-}$) of $\alpha$-cyano-4-nitrostilbene (1- $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ) and $\alpha$-cyano-2,4-dinitrostilbene (1-( $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ ) into $\mathrm{PhCH}={ }^{+} \mathrm{NR}_{2}$ and 2-X-4-nitrophenylacetonitrile anion were determined. For the adducts of $1-\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ there is a change from rate-limiting carbon protonation (to form $\mathrm{T}^{0}$ ) at low amine concentrations to rate-limiting cleavage of $\mathrm{T}^{0}$ into products at high concentrations. For the adducts of $\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ cleavage is rate limiting throughout. Compared to the protonation of the anion of (2,4-dinitrophenyl)acetonitrile (2-( $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}$), protonation of $\mathrm{T}^{-}$derived from $\mathbf{1 -}\left(\mathbf{N O}_{2}\right)_{2}$ is slightly enhanced when the acid is water, strongly reduced when the acid is morpholinium or piperidinium ion $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$, and strongly enhanced with $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$. The slightly enhanced rate of the water reaction is attributed to an enhanced $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{0}$ of the adduct, the strongly depressed rate for the $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}$reactions to a steric effect. The enhanced rate with $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$is ascribed either to an intramolecular pathway via the nitrogen-protonated adduct ( $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$) or to a stabilization, by the adjacent amine moiety, of the transition state for protonation by $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$. Problems with either interpretation exist, though, and are discussed. Even after taking into account the different leaving group basicities, the cleavage of $\mathrm{T}^{0}$ derived from $\mathbf{1 - N \mathrm { NO } _ { 2 }}$ is much slower than that of the previously studied $\mathrm{T}^{0}$ derived from benzylidenemalononitrile, indicating a higher intrinsic barrier for the departure of the more delocalized (4-nitrophenyl)acetonitrile anion compared to ${ }^{-} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$. This is consistent with similar patterns observed with other carbanion-forming reactions such as deprotonations of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ acids and nucleophilic additions to olefins. If one allows for a steric enhancement of the cleavage of $\mathrm{T}^{0}$ derived from $\mathbf{1 -}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$, it appears that the intrinsic barrier for departure of $\mathbf{2 -}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}^{-}$is also higher than that for the somewhat less delocalized (4-nitrophenyl) acetonitrile anion.


The cleavage of activated olefins in the presence of an amine proceeds by a complex, multistep mechanism. This mechanism is shown in Scheme I for a benzylidene-type substrate $\mathrm{PhCH}=$ CXY where X and/or Y are electron-withdrawing groups. The reaction $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{T}^{-}$is shown as a rapid equilibrium even though in some cases the $k_{-1}$ step is of comparable magnitude to the rate of deprotonation of $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm} .^{1-4} \quad k_{i}-k_{-i}$ refer to an intramolecular proton switch which might occur in competition with the $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm} \rightleftharpoons$ $\mathrm{T}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{T}^{0}$ pathway. ${ }^{5}$

[^1]Scheme I


Detailed kinetic studies have recently been reported for the $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2} /$ morpholine, ${ }^{2} \quad \mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{COO})_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} /$


[^0]:    (5) The value in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was consistent with that of Evans' $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+73^{\circ}(c$ 0.99 ); see: Evans, M. E.; Parrish, F. W. Carbohydr. Res. 1977, 54, 105. C. L. Stevens et al. reported the optical rotation of 5 Sa as $+68.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.15 in MeOH ), see: Stevens, C. L.; Glinski, R. P.; Taylor, K. G.; Suokman, F. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 592. Isobe, M.; Ichikawa, Y.; Kitamura, M.; Goto, T. Chem. Lett. 1981, 457.
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